Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,013	BOLDINGH, EDWIN P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuan D. Dang	1764
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>/</u>	<u>Mr.</u>
(1) <u>Thuan D. Dang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Piasecki</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Haag et al (4,097,543) and Gajda et al (6,114,592)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a wr arate record of the substance of the	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
•		
/Everying /ODE Circuity	-1:A/A1!1	O'reature "
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Piasecki is informed that claims 15-21 are allowable. Claims 1-14 (non-elected) can be rejected over Haag et al in consideration with Gajda et al as well as double patenting rejections over several conflicting patent. Applicants agree to cancel claims 1-14 so that claims 15-21 can be set the condition of allowance.